## A APPENDIX: LANGUAGE PROPERTIES AND PROOFS

This appendix contains proof details for the paper "Parallelism in a Region Inference Context" (PLDI '23) by Martin Elsman and Troels Henriksen.

## A. 1 Properties and Proofs for the Internal Language

Proposition 3.1 (Decomposition). If $\vdash e: \tau$ then either $e$ is a value or there exist a redex $e^{\prime}$, a type $\tau^{\prime}$, and a context $E^{\Gamma}$ such that $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}$ and $e=E^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]$.

Proof. By induction over the structure of $e$. There are three cases corresponding to the three typing rules for which $e$ is not a value or a variable.

CASE $e=e_{0} e_{1}$. From the typing rules, we have $\vdash e_{0}: \tau^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \tau$ and $\vdash e_{1}: \tau^{\prime \prime}$. By induction, either $e_{0}$ is a value or there exist a redex $e^{\prime}$, a type $\tau^{\prime}$, and a context $E_{0}^{\Gamma}$ such that $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}$ and $e_{0}=E_{0}^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]$. If $e_{0}$ is not a value, we choose $E^{\Gamma}=E_{0}^{\Gamma} e_{1}$, which means that we have $e=E^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]$, as required.

Otherwise, $e_{0}$ is a value $e_{0}=v_{0}$. By induction, again, either $e_{1}$ is a value or there exist a redex $e^{\prime}$, a type $\tau^{\prime}$, and a context $E_{1}^{\Gamma}$, such that $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}$ and $e_{1}=E_{1}^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]$. If $e_{1}$ is not a value, we choose $E^{\Gamma}=v_{0} E_{1}^{\Gamma}$, which means that we have $e=E^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]$, as required.

Otherwise, $e_{1}$ is also a value $e_{1}=v_{1}$. From the typing rules and because $\vdash v_{0}: \tau^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \tau$ (unique value typing), we know that $v_{0}=\left(\lambda x: \tau^{\prime \prime} . e_{0}^{\prime}\right) v_{1}$, for some $x$ and $e_{0}^{\prime}$. It follows that $e=\left(\lambda x: \tau^{\prime \prime} . e_{0}^{\prime}\right) v_{1}$, which classifies as a redex. Thus, there exist $e^{\prime}=e$, and $E^{\Gamma}=[$.$] , and \tau^{\prime}=\tau$, such that $e^{\prime}$ is a redex and $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}$ and $e=E^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]$, as required.

Case $e=$ get $e_{0}$. From the typing rules, we have $\vdash e_{0}: \tau T$. By induction, either $e_{0}$ is a value or there exist a redex $e^{\prime}$, a type $\tau^{\prime}$, and a context $E_{0}^{\Gamma}$, such that $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}$ and $e_{0}=E_{0}^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]$. If $e_{0}$ is not a value, we choose $E^{\Gamma}=$ get $E_{0}^{\Gamma}$, which means that we have $e=E^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]$, as required. Otherwise $e_{0}$ is a value $e_{0}=v_{0}$. From the typing rules and because $\vdash v_{0}: \tau T$ (unique value typing), we have that $v_{0}=\left\langle v_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, for some $v_{0}^{\prime}$. It follows that $e=$ get $\left\langle v_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, which classifies as a redex, thus, there exist $e^{\prime}=e$, a type $\tau^{\prime}=\tau$, and a context $E^{\Gamma}=[$.$] such that e^{\prime}$ is a redex and $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}$ and $e=E^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]$, as required.

CASE $e=$ letspawn $x: \tau T=e_{0}$ in $e_{1}$. This case is similar to the case for function application.
Proposition 3.2 (Context). If $\Gamma_{0} \vdash E^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]: \tau$ then $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}$ for some $\tau^{\prime}$. Further, if $\Gamma_{0} \vdash E^{\Gamma}[e]: \tau$ and $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma \vdash e: \tau^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}$ then $\Gamma_{0} \vdash E^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]: \tau$.

Proof. By straightforward induction over the structure of $E^{\Gamma}$.
Proposition 3.3 (Value Substitution). If $\Gamma, x: \tau \vdash e: \tau^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma \vdash v: \tau$ then $\Gamma \vdash[v / x] e: \tau^{\prime}$.
Proof. By induction over the structure of $e$ using an environment extension property of typing stating that if $\Gamma \vdash e: \tau$ then $\Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime} \vdash e: \tau$ for any $\Gamma^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{Dom}(\Gamma)=\emptyset$. As is standard, the proof also makes use of Barendregt's convention for renaming bound variables in expressions for avoiding environment capture.

Proposition 3.4 (Type Preservation). If $\Gamma \vdash e: \tau$ and $e \hookrightarrow e^{\prime}$ then $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau$.
Proof. By induction over the structure of $e$. From the small-step reduction rules, there are four cases:

CASE $e=\left(\lambda x: \tau_{1} . e_{0}\right) v$. From the typing rules, we have $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: \tau_{1} . e_{0}: \tau_{1} \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash v: \tau_{1}$ and further that $\Gamma, x: \tau_{1} \vdash e_{0}: \tau$. From the reduction rules, we have $e^{\prime}=[v / x] e_{0}$, thus, we can apply Proposition 3.3 to get $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau$, as required.

CASE $e=$ get $\langle v\rangle$. From the typing rules, we have $\Gamma \vdash v: \tau$ and from the reduction rules, we have $e^{\prime}=v$ and thus $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau$, as required.

CASE $e=$ letspawn $x: \tau_{0} T=v$ in $e_{0}$. From the typing rules, we have $\Gamma \vdash v: \tau_{0}$ and $\Gamma, x: \tau_{0} T \vdash$ $e_{0}: \tau$. From the reduction rules, we have $e^{\prime}=[\langle v\rangle / x] e_{0}$. We can now apply the typing rules to get $\Gamma\langle v\rangle: \tau_{0} T$, thus, we can apply Proposition 3.3 to get $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau$, as required.

CASE $e=E^{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}\left[e_{0}\right]$. From the typing rules and from Proposition 3.2, we have there exists $\tau_{0}$ such that $\Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime} \vdash e_{0}: \tau_{0}$. From the reduction rules, we have there exist $e_{0}^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime}$ such that $e \hookrightarrow e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime}=E^{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left[e_{0}^{\prime}\right]$ and $e_{0} \hookrightarrow e_{0}^{\prime}$. By induction, we have $\Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime} \vdash e_{0}^{\prime}: \tau_{0}$. Now, by applying Proposition 3.2 (second part), we have $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau$, as required.

Proposition 3.5 (Progress). If $\vdash e: \tau$ then either $e$ is a value or $e \hookrightarrow e^{\prime}$ for some $e^{\prime}$.
Proof. From Proposition 3.1, we have either $e$ is a value of there exist a redex $e^{\prime \prime}$, a type $\tau^{\prime \prime}$, and a context $E^{\Gamma}$ such that $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime \prime}: \tau^{\prime \prime}$ and $e=E^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime \prime}\right]$. Because $e^{\prime \prime}$ is a redex, we have from the small-step reduction rules and from the definition of redex that there exists $e^{\prime \prime \prime}$ such that $e^{\prime \prime} \hookrightarrow e^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and further that there exists $e^{\prime}$ such that $e^{\prime}=E^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]$ and $e \hookrightarrow e^{\prime}$, as required.

## A. 2 Properties and Proofs for the Region-Annotated Internal Language

Proposition 4.1 (Decomposition). If $\vdash e: \tau, \varphi^{\prime}$ then either (1) $e$ is a value or (2) there exist a redex $e^{\prime}$, a type $\tau^{\prime}$, and a context $E_{\varphi}^{\Gamma}$ such that $e=E_{\varphi}^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ and $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}, \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$.

Proof. By induction over the structure of $e$. The proof resembles the proof of decomposition for the internal language with the additional complexity of dealing with region variables.

Proposition 4.2 (Context). If $\Gamma_{0} \vdash E_{\varphi}^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]: \tau, \varphi^{\prime}$ then $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}, \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$ for some $\tau^{\prime}$. Further, if $\Gamma_{0} \vdash E_{\varphi}^{\Gamma}[e]: \tau, \varphi^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma \vdash e: \tau^{\prime}, \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau^{\prime}, \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$ then $\Gamma_{0} \vdash E_{\varphi}^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime}\right]: \tau, \varphi^{\prime}$.

Proof. By straightforward induction over the structure of $E_{\varphi}^{\Gamma}$.
Proposition 4.3 (Value Substitution). If $\Gamma, x: \tau \vdash e: \tau^{\prime}, \varphi$ and $\Gamma \vdash v: \tau$ then $\Gamma \vdash[v / x] e: \tau^{\prime}, \varphi$.
Proof. By induction over the structure of $e$. The proof is similar to the proof of value substitution for the internal language. It uses an environment extension property of the typing relation and Barendregt's convention for renaming bound variables.

Proposition 4.4 (Type Preservation). If $\Gamma \vdash e: \tau, \varphi$ and $e \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} e^{\prime}$ then $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime}: \tau, \varphi$.
Proof. By induction over the structure of $e$. From the small-step reduction rules, there are 7 cases. In each case it is straightforward to demonstrate that the reduction rule preserves typing. For the context case, when $e=E_{\varphi^{\prime}}^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime \prime}\right]$, for some $e^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime}$, and $\Gamma$, the proof proceeds by case analysis on the structure of $E_{\varphi^{\prime}}^{\Gamma}$.

Proposition 4.5 (Progress). If $\vdash e: \tau, \varphi$ then either $e$ is a value or $e \xrightarrow{\varphi} e^{\prime}$ for some $e^{\prime}$.
Proof. By Proposition 4.1, either $e$ is a value or there exist a redex $e^{\prime \prime}$, a type $\tau^{\prime}$, and a context $E_{\varphi^{\prime}}^{\Gamma}$ such that $e=E_{\varphi^{\prime}}^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime \prime}\right]$ and $\Gamma \vdash e^{\prime \prime}: \tau^{\prime}, \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$.
We argue that $e^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}} e^{\prime \prime \prime}$ for some $e^{\prime \prime \prime}$, so that $E_{\varphi^{\prime}}^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime \prime}\right] \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_{\varphi^{\prime}}^{\Gamma}\left[e^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]$ follows from the context evaluation rule. We now consider all cases where $e^{\prime \prime}$ could be stuck.

CASE $e^{\prime \prime}=\lambda x: \tau_{0} . e_{0}$ at $\rho$, for some $\tau_{0}, e_{0}$, and $\rho$. From the typing rules, we have $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x$ : $\tau_{0} . e_{0}$ at $\rho: \tau^{\prime}, \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$. This judgment must be derived from the typing rule for lambda expressions followed by a number of applications of the effect expansion rule, which implies that $\rho \in \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$ and $\tau^{\prime}=\left(\tau_{0} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{0}} \tau_{1}, \rho\right)$. It follows that we can apply the reduction rule for lambda expressions to get $e^{\prime \prime \prime}=\lambda^{\rho} x: \tau_{0} \cdot e_{0}$.

CASE $e^{\prime \prime}=\left(\lambda^{\rho} x: \tau_{0} . e_{0}\right) v$, for some $\rho, \tau_{0}, e_{0}$, and $v$. We have $\Gamma \vdash\left(\lambda^{\rho} x: \tau_{0} . e_{0}\right) v: \tau^{\prime}, \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$. This judgment must be derived from the typing rule for function application followed by a number of applications of the effect expansion rule. By applying the typing rule for lambda values, we have there exist $\tau_{1}$ and $\varphi_{0}$ such that $\Gamma \vdash \lambda^{\rho} x: \tau_{0} \cdot e_{0}:\left(\tau_{0} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{0}} \tau_{1}, \rho\right), \emptyset$ and $\Gamma \vdash v: \tau_{0}, \emptyset$ and $\rho \in \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$ and $\varphi_{0} \subseteq \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$. Now, because $\rho \in \varphi \cup \varphi^{\prime}$, we can apply the function-application reduction-rule, to get $e^{\prime \prime \prime}=[v / x] e_{0}$.

CASE $e^{\prime \prime}=$ get $\langle v\rangle^{\rho}$, for some $v$ and $\rho$. Similar to the case for function application.
CASE $e^{\prime \prime}=$ letspawn $x: \tau_{1}=e_{1}$ at $\rho$ in $e_{2}$, for some $x, \tau_{1}, e_{1}, \rho$, and $e_{2}$. Similar to the case for lambda expressions.
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